REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 11, 13 through 16, 18 through 21, and 23 through 27 are pending, with Claims 11, 16, 21, 26, and 27 being independent. Claims 12, 17, and 22 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 11, 13 through 16, 18 through 18, and 23 through 25 have been amended. Claims 26 and 27 have been added.

Claim 25 was objected to on the grounds that it should depend upon Claim 24.

All objections are respectfully traversed, and are submitted to have been obviated by the amendment of the claims in a manner earnestly believed to avoid the grounds of objection.

Claims 11 through 25 were variously rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 over newly-cited US 2003/0214582 A1 (<u>Takahashi, et al.</u>), taken alone or in combination with previously-cited US 2001/0010549 A1 (<u>Miyake</u>). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 11, 16, and 21 variously recite, *inter alia*, determining a time point where the moving picture data is to be divided in a predetermined interval, based on information about the <u>kind</u> of the control of the camera.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that neither <u>Takahashi</u>, et al. nor <u>Miyake</u>, even in the proposed combination, assuming, *arguendo*, that the documents could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 11, 16, and 21. The Official Action relies upon <u>Takahashi</u>, et al.'s

geographical position (obtained from GPS). However, Applicants respectfully submit that such constitutes neither a description nor a suggestion of information about the <u>kind</u> of the control of the camera as claimed.

Claims 26 and 27 recite, *inter alia*, determining a time point where the moving picture data is to be divided in a predetermined interval, based on information indicating that the display of the moving picture data is prohibited.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that neither <u>Takahashi</u>, et al. nor <u>Miyake</u>, even in the proposed combination, assuming, *arguendo*, that the documents could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 26 and 27.

Applicants also respectfully submit that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the above-discussed claimed features.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth

additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims

discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim

is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a

Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office

by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should to be directed to our below

listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/

Daniel S. Glueck

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10112-3800

Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

DSG/jjr

FCHS_WS 1969845v1

10